

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Mellett
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1285694
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Mellett
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1285694
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Mellett
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1285694
<b>Title</b>	JPA 28: North of Irlam Station
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The proposed site area, whilst close to Irlam station, has just one access road (Astley Road) to the main road, Liverpool Road, which in itself can be very congested without the addition of a further 800 dwellings (which will likely have at least one car to each dwelling), thus meaning up to 800 vehicles trying to use that single road during peak times (school opening/closing, rush hours, etc). I believe that little thought has been given to how new residents will *actually* travel as your plan *hopes* that they will use (already struggling) public transport or (non-existent) cycle paths. In addition, your planned expansion of Port Salford may also cause additional congestion to

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>those exiting from Irlam/Cadishead, which will be compounded by vehicles from the proposed 800 dwellings, assuming that many will be using that route to get to their places of work. We have ONE ROAD IN &amp; ONE ROAD OUT of the area. It struggles to cope with the current traffic.</p> <p>Your plan for 25% of these to be "affordable" is also ill-thought. We need significantly more truly affordable homes than that.</p> <p>There are also several brownfield sites in Irlam/Cadishead which I believe should have been considered for this project (granted, split over several sites).</p> <p>This is quite apart from the impact on the greenbelt land itself.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I do not have the answer to this.
<b>Family Name</b>	Mellett
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1285694
<b>Title</b>	JPA 29: Port Salford Extension
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Planned expansion of Port Salford may also cause additional congestion to those exiting from Irlam/Cadishead, which will be compounded by vehicles from the proposed 800 dwellings, assuming that many will be using that route to get to their places of work. We have ONE ROAD IN & ONE ROAD OUT of the area. It struggles to cope with the current volume of traffic and if that one road is closed at Barton due to accident/incident, during that closure, lorries will undoubtedly have to use Irlam/Cadishead (as well as Cadishead Way) as the access/exit to Port Salford.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant</b>	I do not have the answer to this.

**and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**